## National Evaluation of New Deal for Communities Household Survey 2006

### PRELIMINARY KEY INDICATORS: Sheffield Burngreave New Deal for Communities

#### 24 November 2006

### **Technical Note**

This document presents a set of summary key indicators for the 2006 NDC Household Survey Data. Full data for *all* questions can be found in the Topline, Computer Tables and SPSS dataset.

Results are based on 414 interviews conducted face-to-face in-home among residents aged 16+ in Sheffield between 13th May - 7th October 2006.

2002 and 2004 results are based on 502 and 503 interviews respectively. Aggregate data are based on 19,574 (2006), 19,633 (2004), and 15,792 (2006) interviews.

Bases are as above unless specified after the question text. Please remember to check base definitions carefully - this will show which respondents have answered a question.

Partnership data are shown in the first three columns (2002, 2004, 2006), overall figures for NDC areas as a whole are shown in the 'NDC Aggregate' columns, and national benchmarks (eg. England as a whole) are shown in the 'National' column. Where national benchmarks are not available cells are shaded.

The sources for national comparisons are shown as footnotes, but please note that many of these comparisons should be viewed as indicative, given slight differences in how questions are asked, differences in timing and fieldwork approaches of surveys etc.

#### **Statistical Reliability**

Please be particularly careful when looking at how the results in your area have changed, and how these compare with the NDC aggregate and national benchmarks. Where all respondents have answered a question you should be looking for differences of 8 percentage points or more between your 2002, 2004 and/or 2006 figures to be sure they represent actual changes and are not due to chance. Similarly you should be looking for differences of around 6 or 7 percentage points between your area and the NDC aggregate or national figures to be sure they represent actual differences.

#### Interpreting the data

An asterisk (\*) indicates a percentage of less than 0.5% but greater than zero. N/A indicates that data is not available or not applicable. Where percentages do not add up to 100 this may be due to computer rounding or multiple responses.

#### Weighting

Data are weighted to reflect the number of adults aged 16+ in each household and by gender and age profiles for each area based on updated Census data supplied by SDRC at Oxford University. Where data are based on household information they are weighted only to correct for multiple dwellings or households at addresses.

#### **Further information for Partnerships**

All data sources (toplines, key indicators, computer tables and SPSS files for each survey wave) are available on the Ipsos-MORI NDC Extranet site (www.ipsos-mori.com/ndc). The Extranet also includes briefing material on reading toplines and tables from the 2004/5 MORI NDC Partnership Training sessions.

For further information on these results, your individual partnership login information, or information on the household survey generally, please do not hesitate to call Hayley Muller at Ipsos MORI on 020 7347 3252, or your usual Ipsos MORI contact on 0207 347 3000.

## NEW DEAL FOR COMMUNITIES HOUSEHOLD SURVEY 2006

HOUCINIC AND ADEA

HOUSIN	G AND ARE	<b>:</b> A						
		Sheffield			Aggregate			
	2002	2004	2006	2002	2004	2006		
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Very/fairly satisfied with accommodation <sup>1</sup> (HO3)	85	83	85	81	82	82	91	
Resident at address less than 3 years <sup>2</sup> (HO6)	30	24	22	32	28	27	25	
Very/fairly satisfied with area <sup>3</sup> (QL1)	64	71	76	60	66	71	87	
Want to move out of home <sup>4</sup> (HO10)	39	33	36	39	38	40	25	
Area much/slightly worse than 2 years ago <sup>5</sup> (QL4) Base: All lived in area 2+ years	37	20	17	36	22	19	25	
Litter and rubbish in the streets a serious problem <sup>6</sup> (QL3B)	35	32	18	37	33	26	14	
Teenagers hanging around on the streets a serious problem <sup>7</sup> (QL3N)	30	28	23	41	39	32	16	
Quality of life in area very/fairly good <sup>8</sup> (QL2)	72	80	82	76	78	80	83	

<sup>1</sup> Survey of English Housing 2005/06

<sup>2</sup> Survey of English Housing 2005/06

<sup>3</sup> Survey of English Housing 2005/06

<sup>4</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

<sup>5</sup> Survey of English Housing 2004/05 (figures re-based to exclude those who have lived in the area less than two years). Asked as 'area has got worse'.

<sup>6</sup> Survey of English Housing 2005/06 (asked as 'litter and rubbish')

<sup>7</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

<sup>8</sup> Public Attitudes to Quality of Life and the Environment 2001

### COMMUNITY AND NDC

	Sheffield				National		
	2002	2004	2006	2002	2004	2006	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Feel part of local community (great deal/fair amount) <sup>9</sup> (CO1)	44	50	55	35	39	42	53
Neighbours look out for each other <sup>10</sup> (CO4)	62	67	68	59	62	61	72
Feel can influence decisions in area <sup>11</sup> (CO5)	25	33	33	23	24	25	34
Involved in voluntary work in last 3 years <sup>12</sup> (CO6)	17	19	19	12	12	13	23
Heard of local NDC (CO8)	71	86	85	63	79	80	
Feel NDC has improved area (great deal/fair amount) (CO10)	39	58	65	33	51	57	
Base: All heard of NDC		50	00	00	51	57	

<sup>9</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

<sup>10</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

<sup>11</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

<sup>12</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

#### **CRIME AND SAFETY**

	Sheffield				National		
	2002	2004	2006	2002	2004	2006	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Feel very unsafe outside alone after dark <sup>13</sup> (CR1)	39	30	23	33	27	22	10
Very/fairly worried about burglary <sup>14</sup> (CR3A)	60	50	35	65	55	48	44
Very/fairly worried about being robbed or mugged <sup>15</sup> (CR3B)	58	43	42	58	48	44	35
Experienced burglary/attempted burglary in last 12 months <sup>16</sup> (CR4A)	9	5	4	7	5	4	1.4
Experienced assault in last 12 months <sup>17</sup> (CR4D)	5	3	2	5	4	3	2.8

<sup>13</sup> British Crime Survey 2004/05

<sup>14</sup> British Crime Survey 2004/05

<sup>15</sup> British Crime Survey 2004/05

<sup>16</sup> British Crime Survey 2004/05

<sup>17</sup> British Crime Survey 2004/05

NB: Please note that all comparisons on experience of crime can only be indicative as BCS gathers information through a much more detailed set of questions. As a result, the national figures quoted for CR4 A and D differ from published BCS estimates of victimisation which relate to broader categories of crime (and can be obtained from 'Crime in England and Wales 2004/5'). This year, for the first time in the study, we have been able to source data from BCS that is most comparable to the NDC Household Survey question. These therefore provide a more precise comparison when looking at current crime levels in NDC areas compared with the country as a whole. However, given the change in data definitions, please refer to the benchmark trends document for more information on using consistent national benchmark trends on crime.

## WORK AND INCOME - ALL RESPONDENTS

	Sheffield				National		
	2002	2004	2006	2002	2004	2006	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
In paid work (including gov't scheme/Modern Apprenticeship) <sup>18</sup> (WO1)	39	40	45	43	44	45	60
Self-employed (WO9)	6	6	6	4	4	4	
Registered unemployed/not registered but seeking work <sup>19</sup> (WO1)	11	6	5	9	8	8	3
Long-term sick/disabled <sup>20</sup> (WO1)	6	7	9	9	9	9	4
No-one working in household (derived WO1)	55	53	53	51	51	51	
Income below £100 per week (households) <sup>21</sup> (FI6)	25	16	10	19	13	12	5
Respondent receives income support, JSA or incapacity benefit (FI4)	29	29	26	28	27	25	

<sup>18</sup> Labour Force Survey Quarter 2 (April-June) 2006

<sup>19</sup> Labour Force Survey Quarter 2 (April-June) 2006

<sup>20</sup> Labour Force Survey Quarter 2 (April-June) 2006

<sup>21</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

## WORK AND INCOME - WORKING AGE RESPONDENTS

	Sheffield			Aggregate			National
	2002	2004	2006	2002	2004	2006	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
In paid work (including gov't scheme/Modern Apprenticeship) <sup>22</sup> (derived WO1)	48	50	54	51	52	53	77
Self-employed <sup>23</sup> (derived WO9)	8	8	7	5	5	5	11
Registered unemployed/not registered but seeking work <sup>24</sup> (derived WO1)	13	8	6	11	10	10	5
Long-term sick/disabled (derived WO1)	7	8	10	10	10	10	
No-one working in household (derived WO1) Base: All working age households	45	39	42	41	40	39	

Base: All working age respondents, Sheffield 2002 (392), 2004 (372), 2006 (310); NDC Aggregate 2002 (15158), 2004 (14858), 2006 (11711)

<sup>22</sup>Labour Force Survey Quarter 2 (April-June) 2006

<sup>23</sup> Labour Force Survey Quarter 2 (April-June) 2006

<sup>24</sup> Labour Force Survey Quarter 2 (April-June) 2006

#### **EDUCATION AND TRAINING**

	Sheffield			Aggregate			National
	2002	2004	2006	2002	2004	2006	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
No qualifications - all respondents (ED1)	49	48	43	42	41	38	
No qualifications - working age respondents <sup>25</sup> (ED1) Base: All working age	39	38	34	33	32	31	14
Have access to PC at work/home/elsewhere <sup>26</sup> (ED6)	40	46	50	43	49	55	62
Taken part in education/training in last year (excluding current students) <sup>27</sup> (ED3)	25	27	37	20	20	21	26
Taken part in education/training in last year (excluding current students) - working age respondents (derived ED3) Base: All working age	32	33	45	24	24	25	
Percentage feel need to improve reading <sup>28</sup> (ED2)	20	23	24	12	11	12	6
Percentage feel need to improve writing <sup>29</sup> (ED2)	23	25	26	13	13	13	7
Percentage feel need to improve maths <sup>30</sup> (ED2)	26	26	30	21	19	19	15

<sup>25</sup> Labour Force Survey Quarter 2 (April-June) 2006

<sup>26</sup> Ipsos MORI Tech Tracker Aggregates – January - August 2006
<sup>27</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

<sup>28</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

<sup>29</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

<sup>30</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

#### HEALTH

	Sheffield			Aggregate			National
	2002	2004	2006	2002	2004	2006	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Percentage feel own health is good <sup>31</sup> (HE1)	39	44	44	43	46	46	60
Limiting long-term illness <sup>32</sup> (derived HE3/4)	28	31	28	26	25	25	18
Percentage who smoke <sup>33</sup> (HE7)	32	31	28	40	38	37	25
Very/fairly satisfied with doctors <sup>34</sup> (HE14) Base: All used doctors	87	90	89	84	84	84	90
Access to doctors very/fairly easy <sup>35</sup> (HE13) Base: All used doctors	78	71	63	71	74	74	77

<sup>31</sup> General Household Survey 2004/05

<sup>32</sup> General Household Survey 2004/05

<sup>33</sup> General Household Survey 2004/05

<sup>34</sup> People's Panel Baseline 1998

<sup>35</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)

## HOUSEHOLD/DEMOGRAPHICS

	Sheffield				National		
	2002	2004	2006	2002	2004	2006	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Lone parent family households <sup>36</sup> (derived HD2)	14	15	17	16	16	15	10
Percentage BME groups <sup>37</sup> (HD7)	51	52	54	24	26	28	9
English not first language <sup>38</sup> (HD8)	29	30	38	16	18	21	10

<sup>36</sup> Survey of English Housing 2005/06

<sup>37</sup> Census 2001 data for England

<sup>38</sup> Ipsos MORI Omnibus 2006 (1,989 adults aged 16+)